



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,466	10/17/2003		Rainer Bruns	CH-7973/LeA 36,310	3054
34947	7590	09/07/2005		EXAMINER	
LANXESS			ARNOLD, ERNST V		
- -	111 RIDC PARK WEST DRIVE PITTSBURGH, PA 15275-1112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1616	
				DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/688,466	BRUNS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ernst V. Amold	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims -						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/04, 10/17/03	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The Examiner acknowledges receipt of application number 10/688466 filed on 10/17/2003. Claims 1-10 are pending and are accordingly presented for examination on the merits.

Applicant is advised that in claim 4 the preferred Markush language is "active compound c) selected form the group consisting of... and ..."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 6, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tateishi et al. (EP0677246).

Application/Control Number: 10/688,466

Art Unit: 1616

Instant claim 1 is drawn to a compound mixture comprising ipconazole and at least one further fungicidally active compound.

Tateishi et al. provide a fungicidal composition designed to target microorganisms having resistance to benzimidazole fungicides. The fungicidal composition of Tateishi et al. contains at least one fungicidal compound selected from the group consisting of benomyl, cypendazole, carbendazim, EBC, thiabendazole, fuberidazole, dimetbenzazol, thiophanate methyl and thiophanate with ipconazole thus meeting the limitations of instant claim 1 (See: Abstract and page 7, claim 1). Benomyl is a benzimidazole derivative thus meeting the limitation of instant claim 2 (See: Abstract; page 2, line 49; page 3, line 5 (formula (I)); and page 7 claim 1). Tateishi et al. mix ipconazole with benomyl in a 1:3 ratio in Example 1 thus within the range of instant claim 3 (page 4, lines 55-57). In Example 3, Tateishi et al. prepare a suspension concentrate that contains ethylene glycol. Ethlyene glycol has been regulated since 1988 as a pesticide as per the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act therefore meeting the limitations of instant claims 4, 8 and 9 (See: reference U on attached PTO-892).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schelberger et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,369,090).

Schelberger et al. provide fungicidal mixtures that comprises the active components: a) (I) a carbamate or the oxime ether carboxamide and b) (II) a morpholine

Art Unit: 1616

derivative and c) (III) an azole fungicide and a preferred azole is ipconazole (Column 5, lines 25-35; Column 9, lines 28-29; and claim 1) thus reading on instant claims 1, 2 and 4. The composition of Schelberger et al. can have the active component (I) and the active component (II) present in a weight ration of from 10:1 to 0.01 to 1 (Claim 3). The composition of Schelberger et al. can have the active component (I) and the active component (III) present in a weight ration of from 10:1 to 0.01 to 1 thus within the range of instant claim 3 (Claim 4). Schelberger et al. expressly state that the fungicidal mixtures can be used in the protection of materials (eg. In the protection of wood) (Column 12, lines 21-23) anticipating instant claims 5-7 and 10. The fungicidal composition of Shelberger et al. can contain further active ingredients such as herbicides, growth-regulating ingredients or fertilizers (Column 11, lines 50-56). The fungicidal composition can be formulated as a spray solution, powders and suspensions or in the form of highly concentrated aqueous, oily or other suspensions, dispersions, emulsions, oil dispersions, pastes, dusts, materials for broadcasting or granules (Column 12, lines 57-63). Solid or liquid carriers for the compositions are anticipated (Claims 5 and 6). Schelberger et al. provide a formulation comprised of the active ingredients in a 10% emulsion in a mixture of 63% by weight of cyclohexonone and 27% by weight of emulsifier and diluted with water to the desired concentration (Column 13, lines 53-57) thus anticipating instant claims 8 and 9.

The reference of Schelberger et al. is deemed to meet the limitations of instant claims 1-10.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,466

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tateishi et al. in view of Arahira et al. (EP0341954).

Tateishi et al. discloses the fungicidal composition of the instant invention as described in detail above and that discussion is hereby incorporated by reference.

Tateishi et al. do not expressly disclose the intended use of applying the fungicidal composition to industrial materials including wood, woodbased materials, plastics, cooling lubricants and coating systems, such as paints, varnishes or plaster.

Ahahira et al. disclose the use of biocidal compositions that contain an azole derivative (for example, ipconazole) for preventing deterioration of industrial materials including paper, lumber, leather, paints, plastics, metals and inorganic materials and products formed by such materials (See: Abstract; page 2, lines 3, 29-33 42-43; page 3, lines 17-22 and claims 1-4, for example).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to use the fungicidal composition of Tateishi et al. for the purpose of protecting industrial materials as disclosed by Ahahira et al. to produce the instant invention.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the number of benzimidazole resistant microorganisms is growing and the composition of Tateishi et al. is effective against benzimidazole resistant microorganisms. By increasing the lifetime of the industrial material, a beneficial cost savings is earned by decreasing the frequency of replacement.

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention and the claimed invention as a whole have been fairly disclosed or suggested by the combined teachings of the cited references.

Conclusion

Claims 1-10 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernst V. Arnold whose telephone number is 571-272-8509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,466

Art Unit: 1616

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EVA

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER GEOUP 1000